





Topeka River South AREAWIDE PLAN

STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

February 20, 2020

EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant

- Used for sites impacted by petroleum or hazardous substances
- Environmental site assessment and cleanup/reuse planning
- To support property sale or redevelopment activities
- Areawide Planning is an approved activity of the grant



Brownfield Grants and Area Wide Planning



Project Goals

& Desired Outcomes

- Focus on sites with greatest redevelopment potential
- Encourage site reuse projects (infill development)
- Transform underutilized properties into community assets
- Restore the environment and protect human health





Study Process







EXISTING CONDITIONS









KEY ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES





PREVIOUS STUDIES AND INITIAL FEEDBACK

Riverfront Masterplan (2008)

- Mixed use neighborhood on both sides of river
- Principles:
 - Encourage sustainable lifestyles
 - Connect across and along River
 - Redevelop underutilized land to middensity, mixed-use
 - Vitality through recreation, retail, residential, office
 - Accessibility through existing character, sidewalks, trails
 - Increase investment, development





NOTO Arts District Masterplan (2016)

- Across river from River South
- Recommendations:
 - historic preservation
 - medium density infill housing
 - focus on arts culture
 - improvement district management
 - grant allocation







Pappan's Ferry Charette (2018)

- Directly opposite from River South
- Prioritized elements:
 - Levee/River connections
 - Historic trail elements
 - Park features
 - Interpretive
 - Media







Downtown Market Study (2019)

- **Vision**: entertainment district, active nightlife, river views
- **Target**: young adults, young professionals, visitors, tourists, business travelers
- **Product**: new commercial, upscale apartments, entertainment uses
- Recommends anchor hotel, conference center, baseball field, high-quality park with regular programming
- 850-950 new downtown housing units (Is this enough?)











While slow to modest growth is expected in Topeka in the next two years, most of this growth is projected to be concentrated in households without children, and who prefer urban living. This creates an unprecedented opportunity to increase Downtown Topeka's housing supply which, in-turn, triggers growing retail activity and vitality along Downtown Streets.

2

The size of the Topeka Metro workforce is projected to be flat or to decline over the next two decades, triggering a critical imperative to attract and retain essential talent for the creative and knowledge industry jobs for investment in the region.

3

All the region's net population growth in the next two decades is projected to be concentrated among residents who are 65 or older, a population that increasingly relies on fixed incomes and is impacted by property tax increases. This creates a growing fiscal imperative to make use of Downtown's ability to attract younger and higher-income households who can support a growing share of City revenues and to take advantage of Downtown's related ability to attract talent and the jobs, and investment that follow.

4

Topeka, like most of America, is moving rapidly toward becoming a "majority minority" metro, dramatically increasing the social imperative to enhance Downtown as a "common ground" that is viewed as the shared heart of a diverse region.



ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Downtowns are inherently better able to rebound from economic, social, and environmental shocks and stresses.

> Downtowns provide a high quality of life that attracts employers, investment, visitors, and residents.

RESILIENCE

DENTITY

Downtowns deliver 13-64% of citywide tax revenue

INCLUSION

VIBRANCY

ECONOMY

Downtowns are demographically diverse with large portions of a city's foreign-born, nonwhite, and middleincome populations

Downtowns support a variety of retail, infrastructure, and institutions





MISSION

- Grow downtown as a REGIONAL...
- Economic engine jobs
- Fiscal engine—tax base
- Amenity engine housing
- Civic heart—common ground
- Cultural celebration arts "infrastructure"

Walkable	THEATER UNDER CONTROL OF	South Bay Retrofit, Boston
Connected		Brooklyn Village Redevelopment, Center City Charlotte
Diverse		Downtown Brockton (MA)
Green		Calgary Downtown Riverfront
Authentic		Water Street, Downtown Tampa







"The trend is to nurture living, breathing communities rather than sterile com-

pounds of research silos."

Metropolitan Policy Program at BROOKINGS

The Rise of Innovation Districts: A New Geography of Innovation in America

Bruce Katz and Julie Wagner

Introducing Innovation Districts

s the United States slowly emerges from the Great Recession, a remarkable shift is occurring in the spatial geography of innovation.

For the past 50 years, the landscape of innovation has been dominated by places like Silicon Valley-suburban corridors of spatially isolated corporate campuses, accessible only by car, with little emphasis on the quality of life or on integrating work, housing, and recreation.

A new complementary urban model is now emerging, giving rise to what we and others are calling "innovation districts." These districts, by our definition, are geographic areas where leading-edge anchor institutions and companies cluster and connect with start-ups, business incubators, and accelerators.¹ They are also physically compact, transit-accessible, and technically-wired and offer mixeduse housing, office, and retail.

Innovation districts are the manifestation of mega-trends altering the location preferences of people and firms and, in the process, re-conceiving the very link between economy shaping, place making and social networking.²

In recent years, a rising number of innovative firms and talented workers are choosing to congregate and co-locate in compact, amenity-rich enclaves in the cores of central cities. Rather than building on green-field sites, marquee companies in knowledge-intensive sectors are locating key facilities close to other firms, research labs, and universities so that they can share ideas and practice "open innovation."

Instead of inventing on their own in real or metaphorical garages, an array of entrepreneurs are starting their companies in collaborative spaces, where they can mingle with other entrepreneurs and have efficient access to everything from legal advice to sophisticated lab equipment. Rather than submitting to long commutes and daily congestion, a growing share of metropolitan residents are choosing to work and live in places that are walkable, bike-able, and connected by transit and technology.

Led by an eclectic group of institutions and leaders, innovation districts are emerging in dozens of cities and metropolitan areas in the United States and abroad and already reflect distinctive typologies and levels of formal planning. Globally, Barcelona, Berlin, London, Medellin, Montreal, Seoul, Stockholm and Toronto contain examples of evolving districts. In the United States, districts are emerging near anchor institutions in the downtowns and midtowns of cities like Atlanta, Baltimore, Buffalo, Cambridge, Cleveland, Detroit, Houston, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, St. Louis, and San Diego. They are developing in Boston, Brooklyn, Chicago, Portland, Providence, San Francisco and Seattle where underutilized areas (particularly older industrial areas) are being re-imagined and remade. Still others are taking shape in the transformation of traditional exurban science parks like Research Triangle Park in Raleigh-Durham, which are scrambling to meet demand for more urbanized, vibrant work and living environments.

Innovation districts represent a radical departure from traditional economic development. Unlike customary urban revitalization efforts that have emphasized the commercial aspects of development (e.g., housing, retail, sports stadiums), innovation districts help their city and metropolis move up the value chain of global competitiveness by growing the firms, networks, and traded sectors that drive

WHO DELIVERS INNOVATION DISTRICTS

The list of institutions and individuals that are driving the growth of innovation districts is as varied as the economic composition of districts themselves. The following list provides a sample of the leaders at the vanguard of this trend in the United States and abroad:

- Mayors and local governments, such as former Mayor Tom Menino of Boston, former Mayor Joan Clos of Barcelona, and the Stockholm city government.
- Major real estate developers and major land owners, such as Vulcan Real Estate in Seattle's South Lake Union and the Brooklyn Navy Yard.
- Managers of research campuses, such as the Research Triangle Park Foundation in Research Triangle Park and the Texas Medical Center in Houston.
- Anchor companies, such as Quicken Loans in Detroit, Comcast in Philadelphia, and Amazon in Seattle's South Lake Union.
- Advanced research institutions, such as Washington University in St. Louis, Carnegie Mellon in Pittsburgh, Drexel University in Philadelphia, and MIT in Cambridge.
- Advanced medical campuses, such as the Henry Ford Health System in Detroit and the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center in Pittsburgh.
- Philanthropic investors, such as the New Economy Initiative and the Kresge Foundation in Detroit and the former Danforth Foundation in St. Louis.
- Incubators, accelerators, and other economic cultivators, such as Barcelona Activa in Barcelona, the Cambridge Innovation Center in Cambridge, and the BioGenerator in St. Louis.
- Social networking programmers, such as Venture Café Foundation in Boston and Cambridge and High Tech Campus Eindhoven.

- 1. Innovation Districts further the ability of cities and metropolitan areas to grow jobs in ways that both align with disruptive forces in the economy and leverage their distinct economic position.
- 2. Innovation districts can specifically empower entrepreneurs as a key vehicle for economic growth and job creation.
- 3. Innovation districts can grow better and more accessible jobs at a time of rising poverty and social inequality.
- 4. Innovation districts can reduce carbon emissions and drive denser residential and employment patterns at a time of growing concern with environmentally unsustainable development.
- 5. Innovation districts can help cities and metropolitan areas raise revenues and repair their balance sheets at a time when federal resources are diminishing, and many state governments are adrift.

Source: Brookings, 2014



Key Ingredients for an Innovation District:

- Economic Assets (firms, institutions, and organizations that drive, cultivate or support an innovation-rich environment)
- Physical Assets (publicly and privately owned spaces: buildings, open spaces, streets and other infrastructure– designed and organized to stimulate new and higher levels of connectivity, collaboration, and innovation.
 - **Networking Assets** (relationships between individuals, firms and institution that have the potential to generate, sharpen, and/or accelerate the advancement of ideas.)

Photo courtesy of Oklahoma City Innovation District



Types of Physical Assets



Physical Assets in the Public Realm

Spaces available to the public such as parks, plazas and streets that become hotspots of energy and activity.



Physical Assets in the Private Realm

Privately-owned buildings and spaces that stimulate innovation in new and creative ways



Physical assets that knit the district together

Specific investments aimed to eliminate barriers that hinder relationship building and connectivity



TTI TITI'S

Physical Assets in the Public Realm

Spaces available to the public such as parks, plazas and streets that become hotspots of energy and activity.



Physical Assets in the Private Realm

Privately-owned buildings and spaces that stimulate innovation in new and creative ways





Physical assets that knit the district together

Specific investments aimed to eliminate barriers that hinder relationship building and connectivity





LAND USE CONSIDERATIONS

Option A: New Construction Infill



Option A: Land Use



Option A: Transportation and Streetscaping



Option B: New Construction Infill



Option B: Land Use



Option B: Transportation and Streetscaping



Example Local Road Cross-Section



Example Collector Road Cross-Section



Example Arterial Road Cross-Section





OPEN SPACE CONSIDERATIONS

I-70 UNDERPASS PARK









CHICAGO LOW-LINE | CHICAGO, IL



CHICAGO LOW-LINE | CHICAGO, IL



UNDERGROUND AT INKBLOCK | BOSTON, MA



UNDERGROUND AT INKBLOCK | BOSTON, MA









UNDERPASS PARK | TORONTO, CANADA











SOUTH RIVERFRONT PARK



SURLY BREWING CO. | MINNEAPOLIS, MN









PLAYA JEFFERSON COMPLEX | PLAYA VISTA, CA













SEAPORT INNOVATION DISTRICT | BOSTON, MA





NORTH RIVERFRONT PARK





