

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Topeka, Kansas; General Obligation; Note

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jim Tchou, New York (1) 212-438-3821; jim.tchou@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Bobbi Gajwani, Chicago (1) 312-233-7001; bobbi.gajwani@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Topeka, Kansas; General Obligation; Note

Credit Profile

US\$17.08 mil GO Temp Renewal & Imp Nts ser 2020-A due 10/01/2021		
<i>Short Term Rating</i>	SP-1+	New
US\$14.195 mil GO Temp Renewal & Imp Nts ser 2020-B due 10/01/2021		
<i>Short Term Rating</i>	SP-1+	New
US\$13.575 mil GO Rfdg & Imp Bnds ser 2020-A due 10/15/2035		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	New
Topeka GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating and stable outlook to Topeka, Kan.'s roughly \$13.575 million series 2020A general obligation (GO) refunding and improvement bonds and affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating, with a stable outlook, on the city's existing GO debt.

S&P Global Ratings also assigned its 'SP-1+' short-term rating to the city's roughly \$17.08 million series 2020A GO temporary renewal and improvement notes and roughly \$14.195 million series 2020B taxable GO temporary renewal and improvement notes and affirmed its 'SP-1+' short-term rating on the city's existing GO temporary notes.

Topeka's full-faith-and-credit pledge, including an unlimited-ad valorem-property-tax pledge, secures the GO bonds. For certain GO debt, Topeka pledges special-assessment taxes levied against real property in the city that benefited from certain improvements. The city also pledges incremental property tax revenue derived in certain tax-increment-financing districts, as well as state sales tax revenue and tax revenue generated within Heartland Park motorsports redevelopment district. While the city intends to pay debt service from these other revenue sources, we rate the bonds to the city's GO pledge.

The short-term rating reflects our view of the city's long-term rating and low market-risk profile, including the authority to issue take-out debt, coupled with the availability of pertinent disclosure information. State law requires the authorization of long-term take-out GO debt before the issuance of temporary notes. Temporary notes are a GO of the city, secured by its full-faith-credit-and-resources pledge.

We understand officials will use series 2020A bond proceeds to fund general municipal improvements, retire a portion of series 2019A temporary notes, and refinance portions of series 2010C and 2014A GO bonds for debt-service savings. We also understand they will use series 2020A and 2020B note proceeds to fund general municipal improvements; retire, renew portions of series 2019A temporary notes; and retire, renew series 2019B temporary notes.

Credit overview

We expect Topeka's role as a northeast Kansas economic hub and vital retail center will likely continue to support its economy. Although COVID-19-related restrictions are challenging the sales tax base, the city's major revenue source, in fiscal 2020, we expect property tax base growth, expenditure reductions, and very strong financial management will likely support finances as Topeka manages through the pandemic.

We imagine challenges associated with COVID-19 and the related recession could pressure the city's budgets, as it will most local governments, during the next one year to two years. (For further information, please see the article, titled "The COVID-19 Outbreak Weakens U.S. State And Local Government Credit Conditions," published April 2, 2020, on RatingsDirect.)

In-line with our view of the ongoing economic contraction, we expect sales taxes could lag historical performance. (For further information, please see the article, titled "The U.S. Faces A Longer and Slower Climb From The Bottom," published June 25, 2020.) We, however, do not expect it to have an effect on Topeka's ability to maintain very strong reserves during the next few fiscal years due to the city's formal minimum-fund-balance policy.

Management will likely continue to monitor budget-to-actual performance closely and make adjustments as necessary. We expect budgetary performance will likely remain relatively stable in fiscal 2021 due to management's desire to maintain reserves above its policy level. Therefore, we do not expect to change our rating during the next few years. Although our outlook is generally for two years, we recognize the potential for downside risk because of COVID-19 and the related recession during the next six months to 12 months.

The rating also reflects our opinion of the city's:

- Adequate economy, with projected per capita effective buying income at 80.2% of the national level and market value per capita of \$62,366, that benefits from access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Very strong financial management, with strong financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Adequate budgetary performance, with a slight operating deficit in the general fund but an operating surplus at the total governmental-fund level in fiscal 2019;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with available fund balance in fiscal 2019 at 23% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 115.2% of total governmental-fund expenditures and 8.4x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Adequate debt-and-contingent-liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 13.6% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 122.6% of total governmental-fund revenue, as well as rapid amortization, with 65.4% of debt scheduled to be retired within 10 years; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors

We have analyzed the city's environmental factors, including health-and-safety risks posed by COVID-19, coupled with social-and-governance risks relative to the economy; financial management; budgetary performance; and budgetary flexibility, as well as its debt-and-liability profile, and determined all are in-line with our view of the sector standard.

We imagine severe weather conditions that result in floods, wind, tornadoes, or hail present the greatest environmental threat to Topeka, but we understand the city's public-works and utility teams include flood-mitigation designs for new projects and existing infrastructure upgrades where possible.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if Topeka's economy were to deteriorate substantially or budgetary performance were to weaken, resulting in available fund balance we consider just strong.

Upside scenario

All else being equal, we could raise the rating if economic indicators were to improve to levels we consider comparable with higher-rated peers with management sustaining the levels.

Credit Opinion

Adequate economy

We consider Topeka's economy adequate. The city, with a population estimate of 127,007, is in Shawnee County in the Topeka MSA, which we consider broad and diverse. Projected per capita effective buying income is 80.2% of the national level and per capita market value is \$62,366. Overall, market value grew by 1.2% during the past year to \$7.9 billion in fiscal 2019. County unemployment was 3.3% in 2019.

Topeka, 60 miles west of Kansas City, Mo., serves as the state's capital and Shawnee County's seat. Leading employers include:

- Kansas government (7,600 employees),
- Stormont-Vail Health Care (4,200),
- Hill's Pet Nutrition Inc. (3,400),
- Shawnee County Unified School District No. 501 (2,100), and
- Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. (2,000).

Other leading employers include:

- BNSF Railway Co.,
- Blue Cross Blue Shield of Kansas,
- University of Kansas Health Systems, and
- Colmery-O'Neil VA Medical Center.

Unemployment has historically been below the national average. Due to the COVID-19-related recession, however, county unemployment grew to 12.2% in April 2020. Diverse leading taxpayers account for just 12.6% of the total tax

base. Preliminary expectations are for continued tax base growth, albeit not as strong as recently. The retail sector, accounting for about 58.5% of local sales tax collections, draws a large population from outside the city. Due to better-than-expected collections during the past two months, officials revised the expected loss in budgeted sales tax collections to between \$840,000 and \$3.6 million from \$7.4 million at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Current economic developments include:

- Walmart Inc. beginning construction of a new \$200 million, 1.8-million-square-foot distribution center in the city, projected to employ more than 300 new full-time positions;
- Mars, a food manufacturer, announced a \$30 million capital investment for its Topeka plant; and
- Topeka Foundry & Iron Works announced a downtown-business-expansion project that will bring roughly \$5 million of capital investment for additional equipment and 75 new jobs during the next five years.

Economic projects in Shawnee County but outside Topeka's boundaries that will likely have an effect on the city's economy include:

- Hill's Pet Nutrition plans to build a new facility with \$20 million in capital investment and the creation of six new jobs.
- HME Inc. (Haas Metal engineering) plans for a business-expansion project that will bring 167 new jobs to the community during the next five years. This project will also include \$6 million of capital facilities and equipment investments.

Very strong management

We view the city's financial management as very strong, with strong financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating financial practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

Financial management practices include short- and long-term historical trend analysis and the use of independent sources, such as Kansas' consensus revenue forecasting, to project budgeted revenue and expenditures. Management regularly monitors budget performance and provides monthly written reports to the city council and quarterly reports on budget-to-actual financial results to the council and public. Topeka maintains multiyear financial revenue and expenditure forecasts that identify troublesome trends and provide budget feedback.

Management also maintains a 10-year capital improvement plan that outlines projects with corresponding funding sources, which it reviews annually. Investment and debt-management policies reflect statutory limitations and city-specific metrics. Management prepares monthly investment reports, including holdings and earnings, for the council.

A formal debt-management policy limits new GO borrowing, among other things; the governing body annually reviews debt. A formal reserve policy calls for maintaining unassigned fund balance equal to 15% of general fund revenue. As part of its long-term financial plan, Topeka aims to sustain unassigned reserves at 20% of general fund revenue.

Adequate budgetary performance

Topeka's budgetary performance is adequate, in our opinion. The city had slight deficit operating results in the general

fund at 0.7% of expenditures but surplus results across all governmental funds at 3.1% in fiscal 2019. General fund operating results have been stable during the past three fiscal years at 1.1% of expenditures in fiscal 2018 and 0.4% in fiscal 2017.

We have adjusted for, what we view as, recurring transfers into the general fund with capital spending from grants and debt financing. Our forward-looking opinion of adequate budgetary performance reflects uncertainty concerning the effect and duration of COVID-19 and the related recession on the city.

Officials largely attribute fiscal 2019 results to lower-than-budgeted general fund revenue due primarily to lower-than-expected property tax and franchise fee collections. For fiscal 2020, management is projecting a 3.7% operating deficit in expectation of underperforming sales tax collections due to COVID-19, reflecting a sales-tax-scenario analysis that assumes moderate lost sales tax revenue and the application of expenditure reductions in various identified areas, including health holidays, vacancy credits, and others.

Officials expect to adopt a balanced fiscal 2021 budget without using reserves. We expect similar performance across all governmental funds to historical results. Therefore, we expect budgetary performance will likely be, at least, adequate during the next two fiscal years.

In fiscal 2019, sales taxes generated 32% of total governmental activities revenue while property taxes generated 26% and franchise fees accounted for 8%. Topeka benefits from a dedicated half-cent citywide sales tax for infrastructure, which the electorate recently renewed until October 2029. In addition, the city receives funds from a half-cent countywide sales tax expiring in 2031, which it primarily uses for economic development and quality-of-life improvements.

At the beginning of COVID-19, officials were projecting the greatest sales tax loss at nearly \$7.4 million. Due to actual sales tax collections during the past two months, however, officials revised projections downward to a negative budget variance between \$840,000 and \$3.6 million. In the preliminary fiscal 2021 budget, management assumes the sales-and-use tax will be 97% of the fiscal 2020 adopted budget.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Topeka's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with available fund balance in fiscal 2019 at 23% of operating expenditures, or \$21.6 million. During the past three fiscal years, total available fund balance has remained consistent overall at 24% of expenditures in fiscal 2018 and 23% in fiscal 2017.

Topeka has historically maintained very strong reserves. Available reserves remain above the formal reserve policy of maintaining, at least, 15% of general fund revenue. Despite current trends, we expect very strong budgetary flexibility will likely continue during the next few fiscal years. Due to COVID-19, officials performed a sales-tax-scenario analysis to estimate its effect on sales tax revenue. Under moderate assumptions, management estimates it will use roughly \$3.4 million of reserves to make up for projected lost revenue, resulting in available reserves at \$18.2 million at fiscal year-end 2020, or 19.6% of estimated expenditures, still in compliance with the reserve policy. Officials expect balanced general fund operations for fiscal 2021 with no plans to draw down reserves.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Topeka's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 115.2% of total governmental-fund expenditures and 8.4x governmental debt service in fiscal 2019. In our view, the city has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

We expect cash will likely remain very strong during the next two fiscal years. The city has neither variable-rate debt nor swaps in its portfolio nor any obligations that would challenge near-term liquidity. Investments are limited to pools and investments such as certificates of deposit and U.S. treasuries and agencies, as prescribed by Kansas law.

Topeka demonstrates strong access to external liquidity through its consistent ability to access capital markets with routine GO- and revenue-supported debt issuance.

Adequate debt-and-contingent-liability profile

In our view, Topeka's debt-and-contingent-liability profile is adequate. Total governmental-fund debt service is 13.6% of total governmental-fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 122.6% of total governmental-fund revenue. Officials plan to retire about 65.4% of direct debt within 10 years, which is, in our view, a positive credit factor.

Total direct debt is roughly \$436 million. Overall net debt equals 6% of market value. We understand officials currently plan to issue roughly \$19 million of additional GO debt in fiscal 2021. Since we do not consider this additional amount significant, we expect the debt-and-contingent-liability profile will likely remain adequate during the next two years. We, however, note our view of debt could weaken if additional debt issuance were to result in scheduled repayments over 10 years decreasing below 65%.

Topeka does not have any direct-purchase obligations with acceleration provisions in its portfolio. It, however, has traditional fixed-rate GO and revenue debt.

Pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB)

- We do not view pension and OPEB liabilities as an immediate credit pressure because required contributions currently make up a small portion of total governmental expenditures.
- If required material contributions were to increase unexpectedly during the next few fiscal years, we think this would not have an effect on fiscal stability due to sizable reserves officials could use for contingencies, if needed.

At June 30, 2019, the latest measurement date, Topeka participates in Kansas Public Employees' Retirement System (KPERs), which is 69.9% funded with a net pension liability equal to \$96.6 million. The state actuarially determines contributions, and the city has historically funded annual required costs in full.

Actuarial assumptions include a 7.75% discount, which we view as aggressive, representing market risk and resulting in contribution volatility if KPERs fails to meet assumed investment targets. In addition, contributions are likely to grow due to level-payroll funding rather than level-dollar contributions, which would result in consistent payments.

Topeka's self-funded health plan is a single-employer plan for retiree health benefits. Retirees can remain on the city plan as long as they pay the monthly premium. Once the retiree drops coverage, however, they forfeit their right to coverage. The city offers major-medical-health insurance and prescription coverage to employees and retirees. Officials finance the current plan on a pay-as-you-go basis; retirees pay the same premium as active employees. The

city does not contribute to the plan.

Topeka also has a KPERS-administered, multiple-employer, defined-benefit OPEB plan that provides long-term disability and life-insurance benefits for disabled KPERS members. Officials administer the plan on a pay-as-you-go basis. In fiscal 2019, Topeka contributed \$302,000 toward the OPEB plan. We do not expect pension and OPEB costs to be a budgetary pressure during the next few fiscal years. Topeka's combined required pension and actual OPEB contribution totaled 7.2% of total governmental-fund expenditures in fiscal 2019.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Kansas municipalities with more than \$275,000 in annual gross receipts and more than \$275,000 in GO or revenue bonds outstanding is strong.

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020
- 2019 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of July 14, 2020)

Topeka GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Topeka GO temp nts		
<i>Short Term Rating</i>	SP-1+	Affirmed
Topeka GO temp renewal & imp nts		
<i>Short Term Rating</i>	SP-1+	Affirmed
Topeka GO (MBIA)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Topeka GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Topeka GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Topeka GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.